APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/822,952			

EXAMINER
Dewitty

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1616	

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert Dewitty   (3) Christopher Butchow

(2) Jose Does   (4) _____

Date of Interview _____ 11/19/02 _____

Type: ☑ Telephonic   ☐ Televideo Conference   ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No   If yes, brief description: _____

_____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☑ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Eury (5,605,696) and Hossainy (6,15,325

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Applicant argued that the drug system of Eury did not correspond to that of claim 1, namely the positioning of the bulking phase and drug phase. Further, Applicant does not believe Hossainy teaches a barrier layer.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98)